



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,456	05/09/2001	Josef Kickartz	P6281.7US	5114
30008	7590	04/04/2005	EXAMINER	
GUDRUN E. HUCKETT DRAUDT			PHAM, HAI CHI	
LONSSTR. 53			ART UNIT	
WUPPERTAL, 42289			PAPER NUMBER	
GERMANY			2861	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

sm

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/852,456	Applicant(s) KICKARTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Hai C. Pham	Art Unit 2861	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/13/01</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 23-25, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinada (U.S. 5,475,523).

Shinada discloses a laser computer output microfilmer using a laser light source for scanning image data based on the information provided by an external computer onto the surface of a recording material such as microfilm, wherein the rotational motion of the polygon mirror (46) for scanning the laser beam is controlled by the driving control circuit (147) with respect to the motion of the microfilm supported on an external drum (54) (Fig. 13). Shinada further teaches at least one modulator (AOM) being arranged downstream of said light source (laser 31) in a path of said light beam (col. 17, lines 5-15), and wherein said modulator (AOM) modulates the light beam based on said data stream.

With regard to claim 24, although Shinada does not mention the use of the digital-to-analog converter to be connected to the modulator, it is however well known in the art that the acousto-optic modulator (AOM) needs an analog radio frequency signal to be applied to the AOM for generating supersonic in the AOM, and that the digital

image data signals from the computer have to be converted by a digital-to-analog converter into the above mentioned analog radio frequency signals to actuate the AOM. In other words, the digital-to-analog converter is required to be connected to the AOM and thus the digital-to-analog converter is inherent to device of Shinada.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-3, 5-22, 30-31, 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinada in view of Hebert (U.S. 6,332,734).

Shinada discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention including the portion of the microfilm to be exposed by said light beam is curved as being supported on the curved surface of the external drum (54), but fails to teach the surface being curved about the rotational axis about which the rotational movement is carried, and all the components pertinent to the use of an internal drum.

Regardless, such surface being curved about the rotational axis about which the rotational movement is carried would be provided if the drum carrying the microfilm is of an internal type drum as evidenced by Hebert, which teaches an image forming apparatus using an internal drum for supporting the recording medium such that the

Art Unit: 2861

rotational movement of the laser beam (28) scans the recording material whose surface is curved about the rotational axis about which the rotational movement is carried (Fig.

1). Hebert further teaches:

- at least one optical device (optical system 34 and deflector element 40) positioned between said light source (32) and the recording material (18) such that said light beam (28) is guided through said at least one optical device (34, 40) to the recording material,
- said at least one optical device has an optical unit (deflector element 40) rotatable about said rotational axis (parallel the shaft supporting the carriage 26),
- a shaft (shaft carrying the optical carriage 26) (Fig. 2) on which said optical unit (40) is seated,
- said optical unit (40) is configured to be moveable relative to the microfilm (the deflector element 40 being moved by the spin motor 42 relative to the recording material 18),
- a microfilm drum (internal drum 20),
- said microfilm drum (20) has a curved support (Fig. 1) configured to support the portion of the microfilm (recording material 18) to be exposed,
- said microfilm drum (20) has a passage way (as provided by the internal curved surface of the drum 20) and wherein said optical unit (deflector element 40) is positioned at least partially in said passageway during said exposure time,

Art Unit: 2861

- said support (internal surface of the drum 20) is curved about said rotational axis (parallel to the shaft),
- the microfilm (recording material 18) has a longitudinal extension in a direction transverse to said rotational axis (as the recording material is reeling off the supply roll 60) (Fig. 1),
- said optical unit (deflector element or spinner 40) has at least one reflective surface configured to reflect said light beam (28) toward the microfilm (18) (Fig. 2),
- said optical unit is a spinning unit (spinner 40),
- at least one carriage (optical carriage 26), wherein said optical unit (40) is arranged on said at least one carriage (26),
- said at least one carriage (26) is moveable in a direction of said rotational axis (direction of the arrow A) (Fig. 2),
- said light source (32) is arranged on said at least one carriage (26) (Fig. 2),
- a light guide configured to guide said light beam (28) emitted by said light source (32) to said optical device (optical system 34 including a focusing lens being configured to guide the light beam 28 to the deflector element 40) (Fig. 2),
- said microfilm drum (20) is configured to be moveable relative to said optical device (40) (a relative movement exists between the deflector element 40 and the internal drum 20),

- said optical unit (deflector element 40) is configured to be rotatably driven (by the spin motor 42) at a constant rotational speed at least within the range in which said light beam (28) reflected on said reflective surface (of the deflector element 40) impinges on the microfilm (18) (Fig. 1).

Since Shinada also suggests that the recording material supporting member can be either an external or internal drum, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to configure the scanning structure of the laser scanner of Sinada as taught by Hebert since Hebert teaches what is known in the art to provide the minimum required components pertinent to the use of an internal drum for supporting the recording material.

Shinada further teaches at least one synchronizing unit (position sensor 72) configured to synchronize the supply of said data stream with at least one of the rotational speed and the rotational travel of said optical unit (Fig. 13), and the synchronizing unit having at least one sensor (sensor 72) positioned in the path of said light beam shortly before a leading end of the portion of the microfilm to be exposed (Fig. 13).

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinada in view of Hebert, as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Hazman (U.S. 5,625,403).

Shinada, as modified by Hebert, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for telescope unit.

Hazman discloses an image recording apparatus for used with either an internal or external drum, wherein the optical system includes a telecentric demagnifying telescope (25) for recording image on the film for reducing the image.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the telescope in the device of Shinada as taught by Hazman for the purpose of reducing the recorded image as suggested by Hazman at col. 5, lines 12-21.

6. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinada in view of Hebert, as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Newland (U.S. 6,600,549).

Shinada, as modified by Hebert, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the support being provided with a guide, a mechanism for pulling and releasing the recording material, and a vacuum for holding the recording material.

Newland discloses an image scanning apparatus using an internal drum for carrying the film (12) through the recording station, wherein feed rollers (14, 15) are provided to guide the film onto the curved surface of the drum, output rollers (67, 68) for transporting the film out of the recording area, and a vacuum for holding the film onto the curved surface of the drum during the exposure.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the rollers and the vacuum to the device of Shinada as taught by Newland. The motivation for doing so would have been to

coordinate the transport of the film through the printing area as well as to stably hold the film during its exposure to obtain a recording image of high quality.

7. Claims 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinada in view of Newland.

Shinada discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention including the data information being provided by a computer to form the basis for modulating the light beam via a modulator, e.g., AOM or EOM, but fails to explicitly disclose the digitalization of the documents to form a data stream, and the storing of the data stream.

Newland teaches image formation being obtained through scanning the original documents (1) to generate a greyscale image file (3), which are converted into bit map forms before being printed (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the image scanner and the data storage as taught by Newland in the device of Shinada. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the computer output microfilm to accept a variety of input image information.

With regard to claim 43, Shinada teaches the laser beam scanning the microfilm supported on the surface of the drum (54) in a line-by-line manner using a rotating polygon mirror (46) such that the scanned laser beam is not activated at the end of each scanning line.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (571) 272-1934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



HAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 30, 2005